

CITY OF HOUSTON

IN THE COUNTY COURT

VS.

AT LAW OF

C. R. COOKIN, ET UX.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

JUDGMENT

768-19-0588

This cause having come on for hearing in its regular order
 the jury docket in this court on the 3rd day of December, 1956, the plaintiff,
 City of Houston, having appeared by its attorneys and unchallenged ready
 for trial, and the defendants, C. R. Cookin and wife, Alvin Cookin, having
 also appeared and announced ready, and a stipulation having been entered
 into in open court to the effect that all preliminary matters had been disposed
 of by order of the Court heretofore entered herein, and that the only issue
 remaining to be determined by the Court is the value of the property sought
 to be condemned; and a jury composed of Mrs. Long V. Osterick and five
 others having been duly selected, impaneled and sworn; and the proceedings
 having been read, and the introduction of evidence having been made and
 having continued until the 4th day of December, 1956, and the Court having
 submitted to the jury one special issue reading as follows:

"From a preponderance of the evidence, what do you
 find to have been the market value of the 11,300 acre tract
 of land condemned by the City of Houston immediately
 before the taking of such land on April 24, 1952?"

"Answer in Dollars and Cents."

And the jury having retired to consider its verdict, and having
 on the 5th day of December, 1956, returned into open court its answer to the
 special issue as follows:

"We, the jury, find the following answer to the
 special issue submitted to us:

"\$7,234.00."

This above answer constitutes our verdict.

Long Osterick, Foreman

Said verdict and answer of the jury having been received and accepted by the Court, and the jury having been polled, and each of the jurors having declared that said verdict was his or her verdict, and the verdict having been duly filed, and the Court having discharged the jury on the 31st day of December, 1956, now, in accordance with the motion of the defendants, C. R. Goslin and wife, to enter judgment in accordance with the evidence, the stipulations and said verdict and answer of the jury to such special issue, accordingly orders, adjudges and decrees, that the plaintiff, City of Houston, do have and recover of and from the defendants, C. R. Goslin and wife, Alma Goslin, the fee simple title to the tract of land described in its状ment in connection on file herein as follows:

762-13-3583

A tract of land containing 11.303 acres, being all of the said C. R. Goslin tract, being part of and out of a 4-acre tract and a 25-acre tract conveyed by S. H. Pickerton et al., to S. H. Pickerton, by Partition Deed recorded in Vol. 1214, Page 677, of the Deed Records of Harris County, Texas, out of the land and a 25-acre tract in the J. T. Maxwell Survey in Houston, Harris County, Texas, and being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

Beginning at a 1-inch iron pipe the southeasterly corner of the said C. R. Goslin 11.303 acre tract, said 1-inch iron pipe being also the northwesterly corner of the Willis Baumer 1-acre tract;

Thence N 29 deg. 42' W, along the southerly line of the said 11.303 acre tract as tenured, a distance of 461.41 feet to a 1-inch galvanized iron pipe the southwesterly corner of said tract, on the easterly right-of-way line of the I. G. N. Railroad;

Thence N 11 deg. 17' W along the easterly right-of-way line of the said I. G. N. Railroad, a distance of 242.27 feet to a 1-inch galvanized iron pipe;

Thence S 69 deg. 30' E, a distance of 102.42 feet to a 1-inch galvanized iron pipe in the easterly line of the Houston Lighting & Power Company right-of-way;

Thence N 11 deg. 17' W along the easterly line of the said Houston Lighting & Power Company right-of-way, a distance of 257.44 feet to a point;

Thence D 39 deg. 30' E, a distance of 80.78 feet to a point;

Thence N 0 deg. 30' E, a distance of 414.64 feet to a point, the northwesterly corner of the said 11.303 acre tract, on the southwesterly side of CrossTimbers Rd. 1;

Thence S 89 deg. 51' E along the northerly line of the
said 11.303 acre tract, on the southerly side of CrossTimber
Road, a distance of 739.7 feet to a point the northeasterly cor-
ner of said tract, at the southwesterly intersection of Cross-
timber Road and Knicker Street.

Thence S 0 deg. 44' 30" W along the easterly line of the
said 11.303 acre tract, on the westerly side of Knicker
Street, a distance of 123.17 feet to a point

763-19-0590

Thence N 80 deg. 33' 30" W following a fence, a distance
of 325.8 feet to a 1-inch galvanized iron pipe an interior corner
of the said 11.303 acre tract.

Thence S 0 deg. 44' 30" W along an easterly line of the
said 11.303 acre tract, as fenced, a distance of 811.75 feet to
the place of beginning.

The Court finds from the uncontradicted evidence that the City
of Houston has been in actual possession, control and dominion of the above
described property since April 24, 1952, and therefore no writs are necessary
to place the plaintiffs, City of Houston, in possession.

It further appearing to the Court that under the answer of the
jury to the special issue submitted to it, the defendants, C. R. Coslin and
wife, are entitled to have and recover of and from the condemner, City of
Houston, the sum of Eighty-two Thousand Two Hundred Thirty-six Dollars
(\$82,236.00) in cash; and that the City of Houston did heretofore on the 24th
day of April, 1952, deposit in this cause the sum of \$51,697.00 in the name
of the defendants, as provided by law, and that the defendants, Coslin and wife,
hereafter duly file their application to withdraw said fund, and pursuant to
the order of this Court, the Clerk of this court did on the 28th day of January
1955 pay over to the defendants, Coslin and wife, the amount of said deposit
which sum shall be credited against the amount of the compensation and
damages fixed by the jury in its answer to the special issue, and the City of Houston
having taken possession of said property on the 24th day of April, 1952,
is hereby specifically ordered, enjoined and directed, that the said C. R.
Coslin and wife, upon Coslin, do have and recover of and from the condemner,
the City of Houston, the balance of Thirty Three thousand Two hundred
and thirty six (\$33,236.00) Dollars, together with interest thereon at the rate of
six percent per annum, from the date of payment of the amount of \$51,697.00.

six per cent (6%) per annum from the 24th day of April, 1952, and add thereto
when said additional sum and interest thereon as above decreed
shall have been deposited with the Clerk of this court in cash, the City of
Houston shall be discharged from any further liability herein. If the City
shall fail to make said deposit immediately upon this judgment becoming
final, the defendants, Gorlin and wife, may apply to the Court for such writs
as are necessary to enforce the judgment. When said sum of money is deposited
by the City of Houston, the Clerk shall be authorized, upon application of
the defendants in writing, to pay said sum over to them in full settlement and
satisfaction of all compensation due them hereunder.

763-19-0591

Dated and entered this 7th day of December, 1956.

Phil Redden
Judge, County Court No. 1
Harris County, Texas

Approved as to form:

GEORGE D. MEAL, City Attorney

Howard T. Bullock
Assistant City Attorney
Attorneys for Plaintiff, City of Houston

George H. Lewis
Attorney for Defendants, C. A. Gorlin
and wife, Alice Gorlin

NO. 64-011

CITY OF HOUSTON

VS.

G. R. GOOLIN, ET UX

IN THE COUNTY COURT

AT LAW OF

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS

JUDGMENT

CSU-51-492

H. J. Knapp
H. J. KNAPP AND ASSOCIATES
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
10 CITIZENS STATE BANK BLDG.
MONTGOMERY CITY
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS

NO. 64-011

CITY OF HOUSTON

IN THE COUNTY COURT

VS.

AT LAW OF

C. R. GOSLIN, ET UX

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

68-19-0615

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Now comes C. R. Goslin and wife, Alma Goslin, defendants in the above entitled and numbered cause, and respectfully show to the Court that the City of Houston on the 6th day of August, 1957, deposited the additional sum of Forty Thousand Two Hundred Twenty One and 93/100 Dollars (\$40,221.93), which is the additional sum provided in the judgment, plus interest. The defendants accordingly pray that the Clerk be authorized to pay said sum to them.

Orval Thomas
Attorney for the Defendants

O R D E R

On this the 8th day of August, 1957, the foregoing application of the defendants, C. R. Goslin and wife, for payment of the additional amount of the money deposited by the City of Houston in the above entitled and numbered cause having come on for consideration, it is accordingly ordered that the Clerk, W. D. Miller, shall be and he is hereby authorized and directed to pay over to the defendants the sum of \$40,221.93 in full settlement and satisfaction of the judgment, the said defendants having heretofore withdrawn from the registry of the court the sum of \$91,697.63.

Reordered and entered this 7th day of August, 1957.

John Bell
Judge

NO. 64-811

CITY OF HOUSTON

VS

C. R. COULIN, ET UX

IN THE COUNTY COURT AT LAW

OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT OF
FUND\$

6150-61-887

FILED

REC'D 2-14-67
HARRIS COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

KNAPP AND ASSOCIATES
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
100 CHAMBERS STREET, SUITE 1000
HOUSTON, TEXAS
87-837